

June 1991

AWARENESS

THE JOURNAL OF CONTACT (U.K.)



CONTACT (UK)

THE EDITORIAL STAFF

Jimmy Goddard
Anne Patterson
David Guyatt

THE NATIONAL COMMITTEE

Chairman	Fred Passey
Research Officer and	
Membership Secretary	Derek Mansell *
Secretary	Jimmy Goddard *
Public Relation Officer	David Guyatt *
Executive Member	Doris Goddard
Executive Member	Anne Patterson
Executive Member	Maureen Russell
Executive Member	Paul Harris

- * D. Mansell, 75, Norreys Road, Cumnor, Oxford. OX2 9PU.
J. Goddard, "Astrohaven", 25, Albert Road, Addlestone, Weybridge, Surrey.
D. Guyatt, 83, Stornoway Road, Southend on Sea, Essex.

INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Argentina	Prof. R.A. Frondizi	Pakistan	M. Habibullah Bahar
Australia	Peter Burman Jr.	Phillipines	N.A. Villarruz
Belgium	Comtesse R. d'Oultremont	Puerto Rico	Noel E. Ridau
Canada	Mrs. C. Halford-Watkins	Rhodesia	Mrs. R.T. Dippenaar
Ceylon	Upali Amarasena	South Africa	Mrs. E. Van Vloten
Colombia	Albert Ron	Spain	Miss Ruth Rees
Cyprus	E.A.L. Coudounaris	Sweden	Mrs. E.C.M. Nicolaisen
Fiji Islands	Yugeshwar Prakash	Switzerland	Leon Broch
Holland	Miss Rey'Aquila	Utd. Kindom	Fred Passey
Italy	Dr. A. Perego	U.S.A.	Mrs. M. Teagle
Mexico	Ing. I.C.M. Norrie	Venezuela	Andres B. Figueira
New Zealand	P.R. Austin	Zambia	Donald G. MacLean
Norway	Nils J Jacobsen		

NEW MEMBER COUNTRIES AND ICMs

Israel Amnon Yaish. Malaysia S.T.S. Wong. Nigeria ?

☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆

Please send membership subscription to Derek Mansell., and Awareness material to Jimmy Goddard Esq.

AWARENESS

JUNE
1971

CONTENTS.

Editorial, Thank you Dr. Condon.			Page 2
Shadow of Doubt		David G. Guyatt	Page 3
Operation Trojan Horse	A Reveiw by	J.B. Delair	Page 9
The Consequences of Bearing False Witness		David T. Proctor	Page 10
Unusual Aerial Activity Over Coventry		R.W. Tibbetts	Page 11
Contact (UK)	Page 1	Accounts	Page 16
Book Reviews	Page 7	Pen Pals	Page 16
Notice Board	Page 15	Special Offer	Page 17
Satellite Re-Entries	Page 22/23/24.	Gossip Col.	Page 18
		Data Res.	Page 19
		Ufo Reports	Page 20/21

Editorial. THANK YOU Dr. CONDON.

The rate of UFO activity in this country in the last few months has been considerably down, in fact almost non existant, at least as far as interesting cases go, naturally there have been a few occasional flyover observations, but, needless to say nothing of substantial value. One wonders whether UFOs are on vacation, or that the World-renowned British rain has kept them from these shores.

Whichever it may be , the present UFO scene is sadly lacking in good, old fashioned, wholesome cases to investigate. Perhaps it is the lull before the storm, that is, if the predicted October 1972 'flap' materializes. However dull the research side of things is at present, the same cannot be said for another, just as important, angle of ufology. The gradual acceptance by the scietific community that UFOs exist as an undetermined phenomena is increasing, it would be more accurate to say that it is snowballing into a gallop of acceptance, in relation to the 1947 to 1967 era. Big names, big organizations and big associations are jumping in where previously 'Angels feared to tread'. At long, long last the Flying Saucer enigma is receiving scientific attention, appraisal and respectability.

In the late autumn of 1969, the American Association for the advancement of Science (AAAS) conducted a 'UFO Symposium' for those who do not know, the AAAS has a membership which reads like a volume of Who's Who. During January 1970 the American Institute of Aeronautics & Astronautics held a session on the

page 2.

UFO phenomena, on a question and answer basis. Some of the big names in science and ufology were present. Persons such as Dr. J. Allen Hynek, Dr. Thornton Page of NASA's manned spacecraft centre, Houston, and Dr. James E. McDonald each participated to a large extent. Another largely respected association in the United States, The National Amateur Astronomers, conducted a research and published their findings in a special volume called 'Science & the UFO' in 1969.

The latest section to add their weight and respectability to the subject are the Industrial Scientists. In the April issue of their well respected journal 'Industrial Research' a UFO poll was conducted amongst its readers. (estimated readers total 360,000 of which 23% hold a PHd degree, another 23% MS degrees and 44% BS degrees) An article appeared with the poll titled 'UFOs PROBABLY EXIST'. The poll indicated that 54% of the readers involved in the poll, beleived UFOs existed, whereas, only 31% beleived they did not.

This sudden public acceptance and interest in the subject by the very conservative scietific community is certainly surprising, especially since almost no interest was displayed by scientists previously to the CONDON REPORT. This report was extremely critical of UFO's, and recommended that they be dismissed, en bloc, and even the long standing USAF Project Bluebook was closed on the recommendation of Dr. Condon. Since then, interest in the subject has not only been sustained, but it has increased. The reason for this seems apparently simple. Whilst Dr. Condon and his committee spent two years and some half a million dollars probing the phenomena, he also lent his reputation and credentials to UFO research in general, and since he did this, no amount of ridicule has been able to acheive the desired result. The Condon report will go down in history as a 'red herring'. The fact that a supposedly scientific research took place enabled the vicious circle to be broken; no longer will reputations be at stake, no longer is ridicule valid. Ufologists should not condemn Dr. Condon, but thank him, for he has done a service to research, however unwittingly that service was intended.



SHADOW OF DOUBT by David G. Guyatt

For several years now, a scutiny of the Ministry of Defence's (MOD) analysis, interpretation, and conclusions of UFO observations in the United Kingdom has been called for. Unfortunately, this has not, in the past, been altogether possible. However, since the publication of the UFO Register (UFOR) and especially volume 1 part 2 dealing with the 1967 'flap', a chance for a brief scrutiny is possible.

For the purpose of the following examination, I shall accept at 'face value' the claims made by the Ministry that 'they have found no evidence that UFO's represent an Air Defence threat to the U.K.'. Incidentally, for those of you who have not got a copy of the UFO Register, then you are truly missing the most far reaching

and objective study of UFO's previously undertaken by any known individual or organization in the UFO World. Contact (U.K.) has without question established itself as one of the most progressive and objective organizations in the World today.

After reviewing the UFOR I was considerably impressed with the volume and scope of the 1967 'flap' in the U.K. A comparison between the MOD survey for that year and the UFOR as mentioned above is shown on schedule 1 of this analysis. The interesting point revealed is the virtually enormous differential between reports received by UFOR and the MOD. The former document records 1873 cases whilst the latter shows only 362, a difference of some 500%. The basic conclusion to be drawn from this must surely indicate an almost complete lack of initiative by the MOD to seek and obtain UFO reports, and instead only concentrate on material furnished to them from a direct source. Does this negate at least a substantial proportion of the MOD conclusions? The answer would appear to be yes.

Another important differential shown on this schedule is the section dealing with unexplained cases (in other words, UFO's). MOD figures show 46 unexplained cases, whilst the UFOR has 541. To put this in perspective, the Ministry records show one (1) UFO contravening British air space every eighth day of the year, whilst UFOR have over one (1) per DAY. In the light of these two sets of figures the validity of the Ministry's evaluation is now highly questionable and fundamentally misleading. A shadow of doubt now begins to appear.

Can the two sets of figures be reconciled. One knows without question that the MOD has a far greater potential for an objective investigation than any individual or organization could effect at present. It has access to special funds and scientific expertise, and can also exert pressure in many quarters for additional information. Against this fact, Contact (UK) Data Research section has limited financial backing and cannot always apply as much pressure to obtain all the information it would like. It does, however, proceed along strictly scientific lines during all phases of its investigations, while the fact that all UFO's observed in the U.K. are carefully checked against satellite trajectories, re-entry and decay dates, as well as scheduled air traffic movements, meteorological balloon movement etc, obtained from pertinent authorities, render its conclusions of more than passing merit.

It is then obvious that the different sets of figures cannot be reconciled, which would alter to any significant extent, the evidence produced by either organization. Another indication of the Ministry's apparent lack of thorough objectivity can be read in 'Hansard'. For example, in October 1967, Mr. Peter Mills, Conservative M.P. for Terrington, Devon, put several questions to the under secretary of state for Defence, Mr. Merlyn Rees. Interest is not so much directed at the former's questions, but at the latter's answers. Mr. Merlyn Rees, after explaining a number of alleged UFO's as 'Venus', 'Aircraft', etc, went on to say. "Of the lights,

page 4.

the majority were the Planet Venus but the source of a few lights has not been positively identified". He added: "I can say, however, that none of these lights was an alien object". In other words, Mr. Rees states that certain aerial phenomena cannot be identified in known terms, and then, in the same sentence assures all present that he can identify all the alledged UFO's; and his identification is: an Unidentified Flying Object.

However, to return to the schedule for a brief synopsis of the remaining categories of UFO explanations, the weather balloon section should be of interest to many Ufologists. Whilst the MOD explain 42 observations (12% of the total) in this way, UFOR can boast only one (1). Surely, from this one must make amends to the existing, but seemingly mythological 'History book' UFO explanation.


The aircraft section should also be highlighted since it accounts for 41% of MOD explanations, and is by far the largest group of single objects. The UFOR (page 25) clearly shows that the largest percentage of unidentified are observed during the hours of darkness, and furthermore, the majority of these displayed lights of some sort, many of which were similar to those carried under the belly and wings of an aircraft. (i.e., Red, Orange, Green, White). Since the differential between these two documents clearly indicates something amiss, could one hypothesize that the MOD haphazardly categoize a large proportion of unidentifieds in this manner, since they bear a possible, and I stress possible, resemblance to aircraft. This certainly appears to be the case, and therefore is a further indication of the inadequacy of the Defence Ministry Analysis.

On the other hand, it is also arguable that the UFOR 'aircraft' figures are too low, until one reviews the 'miscellaneous' column in schedule 2. Here the MOD figures are very low indeed while the UFOR figures are conversely high, a factor indicative of the depth of Data Research's overall enquiries and the genuineness of their published conclusions. From this evidence one can infer with reasonable confidence that the low UFOR 'aircraft' figures closely reflect the actual number of aeroplanes mistaken by observers for UFO's.

The above information forces one to conclude that MOD interest in UFO's is concerned only with public relations only, and that they have not undertaken a serious or objective study in this field. This must surely be the case since their study bears no resemblance to the analysis produced by 'Data Research', neither is it in anyway comparable to the gigantic scope of the UFOR programme but is instead decidedly misleading.



Explanation category	UFOR category symbols	Ministry of Defence		UFO Register		Differential (%)
		observed	%	observed	%	
Satellite & Debris	E & F	57	16	151	8	+8
Balloons	N	42	12	1	.50	+11.50
Celestial Objects	G, H, J, K	26	7	39	2	+5
Meteorological & Natural Phenomena	L	19	5	2	.50	+4.50
Aircraft	M	150	41	117	6	+35
Misc.	D & P	22	6	1022	54	-48
Unexplained	A, B, C	46	13	541	29	-16
TOTAL		362	100%	1873	100%	000.00



INVISIBLE RESIDENTS.

by Ivan T. Sanderson.

(The World Publishing Co, New York)

Hardcover. \$7.50

BOOK



This is an expensive book for residents in the U.K., but well worth the money.

The author is well-known to ufologists through his earlier Uninvited Visitors.

He is a former member of British Naval Intelligence, a Fellow of the Royal

Society, and a naturalist. He holds degrees in Botany, Geology and Zoology.

degrees in Botany, Geology and Zoology. Mr. Sanderson has taken part in many zoological expeditions around the world.

by Brinsley Le Poer Trench

His new work has two main themes. Firstly, the idea that an underwater civilization has existed for possibly millenia on this planet. He states, quite rightly, that three quarters of the earth's surface is covered by water, and that much of the oceans are two miles or more in depth. Furthermore, we know next to nothing about what is on the bottom of those vast ocean depths. Then, he quotes the evidence from eyewitnesses and from ship's logs of UFO's diving in and emerging from the sea.

Secondly, he has much to say about the so-called deadly 'Bermuda Triangle' where many aeroplanes and ships have mysteriously disappeared. He points out that this is not really a triangle at all, but in reality, lozenge-shaped. The author and his associates have discovered some ten similar lozenge-shaped areas in which strange things happen, placed geometrically around the world. This is all excellent reading.

I strongly recommend that you buy, beg, or borrow this book. It will add a lot to your thinking on our subject. There are three appendices, reference notes, and the Lord be praised, an index.

PASSPORT TO MAGONIA, by Jacques Vallee. (Neville Spearman, London.)
Hardcover. £1.75

The author is a Frenchman who has been working in the United States for some years. He is a consultant on NASA's 'Mars Map' project. His two previous books, Anatomy of a Phenomenon, and Challenge to Science, the UFO Enigma, are well-known to students of our subject.

His new book is a departure from the careful presentation of sightings, studies

of patterns, and analysis of theories, in his earlier ones. I do not mean that Vallee is not scientific in his new work. Indeed, he has collected his material and presented it in an admirable way.

The point I am making is that the author has dived deep into the realms of folklore, fairies, elves, and the 'little people'. It is absolutely fascinating and written with real warmth that permeates the book's pages.

Vallee presents for our consideration new ideas as to the possible origin of the UFO's. However, like a real scientist, he does not pretend to give us the complete answer to the UFO saga. He just points up some very interesting ideas, based on the data given in the book, for us to cogitate upon.

The book is extremely well-researched and contains reference notes. A must for your UFO library.

MYSTERIOUS FIRES AND LIGHTS, by Vincent H. Gaddis. (Bell Publishing Co. Inc., 750 Third Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10017.) Paperback. 75 cents.

This is a fantastic book packed with information for the ufologists. For instance the author postulates that the 'foo fighters' which flew alongside the military aircraft of both sides in the last world war were possibly living creatures.

You will read about mysterious fires starting from no apparent cause at all, human beings who become human torches, balls of light that float into a house and start a fire, and balls of light that rescue people.

There is an absorbing chapter about fire-walkers in different parts of the world who suffer no ill effects. Another one is about poltergeist incendiaries. And lots more.

I have one criticism. This is one that I have made about other books. There is no index. This is a pity as it is so full of UFO incidents and fascinating material I would have thought an index was most necessary.

However, this is an important book well-known on the other side of the Atlantic. A little scary at times, but full of vital information and ideas.

The Astronomer

Subscription: £1 per annum

or write for Free copy

- Read observational reports contributed by distinguished observers.
- Keep up to date with the sky by taking Britain's leading magazine devoted to PRACTICAL amateur astronomy.

REX HILBURN, 18, DENMARK STREET, WATFORD WD1 3RA
please mention CONTACT when replying

Operation Trojan Horse

by John A. Keel
(a review by J.B. Delair)

Ufologists the world over will undoubtedly welcome the latest book from the pen of John A. Keel --- OPERATION TROJAN HORSE: AN EXHAUSTIVE STUDY OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS.

Composed partly of expanded versions of certain of the author's previous articles on ufo's and related topics, much of the text of this splendid book will already be familiar to students of the ufo enigma. This, however, in no way detracts from the overall importance of the book, for in it these articles are brought together for the first time in coherent sequence and, together with much new data, presented as facets of a still larger and more awe-inspiring whole. Eminently readable, and complete with an extremely useful bibliography, this book is indeed a landmark in ufo literature, and should be in the library of every ufologist worthy of the name.

Especially interesting is the hitherto unnoticed peculiarity that an unnaturally high percentage of ufo activity occurs on Wednesdays. Operation Trojan Horse discusses this and other seemingly related aspects of ufology (such as the fascinating time scale factor, the problem of localized sighting periodicity, and the connections apparently existing between ufo's and the world of the elementals) with rare skill and perception. Of particular importance are the chapters detailing the early ufo "waves" of 1896-7 and 1909, and the "rash" of mystery aeroplanes during the 1930's.

Around these and a multitude of other painstakingly investigated pieces of ufo evidence is constructed a theory advocating that ufo's and their creators emanate from another dimension (existing simultaneously with our own but quite invisible to us) and that at least some of these invisible entities are following a programme of deliberate deception, in which human beings are the victims. The evidence assembled here supporting the claim that these invisible entities possess detailed and sometimes total knowledge of the past history of certain individuals or of their relatives is both amazing and uncanny. The implications are fearful to contemplate. In this connection Mr. Keel is to be congratulated for mentioning the all too frequently ignored difficulties confronting the serious ufologist, both with regard to the vast expenditure of time and effort that properly conducted investigation demand, and with regard to the very real dangers run by those attempting "contact" with the mysterious forces behind the ufo phenomenon. Some of the descriptions of the effects on individuals known to have become thus embroiled are most sobering and not a little frightening.

Operation Trojan Horse does not quite live up to its subtitle, for it is certainly not exhaustive, a feature it shares with all other books on the ufo riddle. None-

theless it is a very well balanced effort and most compulsive reading: indeed, once picked up it is very difficult to put down. Probably its greatest merit lies not so much in what it contains or says but rather in indicating numerous new lines of research for ufologists and in putting the true enormity of the ufo problem into proper perspective. For this ufologists everywhere will be for long indebted to Mr. Keel for undertaking a formidable task with such brilliant success.

Refreshingly free of typographical errors (the reviewer noticed only one)
OPERATION TROJAN HORSE is published by the Souvenir Press at £2.

The Consequences of Bearing False Witness

By David T. Prockter, Editor - U.F.O. News.

Just think of how life would be simplified if such things as lies and deceit never existed. Just imagine trust being the supreme virtue, and the amount of cash you have in your bank account at present having little bearing. Truth and trust are two things as important as each other, and also to together side by side. It also seems that a little more truth and trust are both needed in Ufology.

Not knowing the full extent of CONTACT U.K.'s UFO investigations, or any other UFO organization, I can only speak for my own experiences and those which I have either shared or had related to me by a third party, which if I did not trust I would not mention on this page.

UFOLOGY is changing, those three words seem to sum it all up. Ironically enough, the thing that is severely lacking is AWARENESS. We must be aware of the coming changes, be prepared to discuss them, accept them or reject them. Changes are not always for the best and people still mention 'the good old days'.

It seems, however, that some are perpetrating their own ufological standards. One statement that sounds very hostile, but nevertheless is very true is THERE ARE AN ABNORMAL AMOUNT OF LIARS IN UFOLOGY. The unfortunate thing is, to make things worse than they already are, we must still have an open mind and it is hard, and in most cases impossible to have the confidence to differentiate between a liar and an honest person who really is able to shed some light. The truth also is that most of the ideas that are rejected are by the more sane of the two types.

If there are abnormal amounts of deceit and lies going around in free circulation, then our job is harder. We are trying (very patiently, if I may say so) to break down the structure of UFO's and try to find out at least their purpose and their origin. How are we going to do this when the real evidence, UFO reports, data and the like is submerged with deliberately falsified reports? Not only do we have deceitful reports but we also have reports THAT CAN be explained away but the various implements and phenomena which include temperature inversions,

weather balloons, satellites, birds, fireflies, kites, hallucinations, and many others also contribute to the mounds of misleading information we accept as gospel. Does anyone seriously think that we will be able to sort out some reliable data when our authentic reports are polluted by false and wrong reports.?????

This is why I cannot support the computerisation of UFO reports that so many people think is highly scientific and will be of great assistance to Ufology. The truth is, sad to say, that computerisation will be of no earthly use (no pun intended) until there is a way to sort out authentic reports from alleged reports and faulty (i.e. explainable) UFO reports. Some may say we cannot throw any reports away because they may be true. Fair enough, but if those reports are lies or weather balloons, then the results of computerisation will be very, very wrong indeed. So what can we do?

The answer to this is not so simple. We can't exactly put a sighting witness under bright lights, tie him up and threaten "Where were you on the night of June 24th 1947,". Although I think that there are a few people who would delight in doing this. MVP? BRB? The people who scream we must investigate the witness and not the object he saw, are right, but for the wrong reason. We cannot depend on the witness's reliability. But as he was a witness we have no real choice. The witness should be probed to see what he has to gain if his sighting or contact is published. If the witness says that he going to publish a book entitled "My trip around three galaxies by the emperors of Uranus", then there is a slight chance that this witness did not actually sight or contact an object. I know certainly that if I had contact with an alien being (if the thing I encountered had come from outer space), I would certainly not write a book on it. I think that the best thing you can do in this case is to leave your brain to the psychiatrists down the road and let them have a field day.

Seriously, and for the sake of this articles title, truth and trust must be the two things most required in ufology. Or otherwise ufology will die. How beneficial it will be to have UFO reports handed in by witnesses we could trust in, and know that they are authentic reports.

o o o o o o o o o o o o

YOUR ARTICLES COULD HELP FILL THIS SPACE

A REPORT ON RECENT, UNUSUAL AERIAL PHENOMENON, OVER AND NEAR COVENTRY.

by R.W. TIBBETTS

The following data regarding unusual aerial sightings can roughly be split into two categories. One set of sightings is believed to be the result of a meteorite shower, the other following set; attributed to what is categorised as UFO activity.

Although perhaps not directly connected, the second of the two sets of sightings may have been a result of the first. To generalise; we may be or may not be correct in assuming that the object of the second sightings were in fact "interested" in the landing or sighting of the first phenomenon. I might add that up to present, as far as I know, nothing in the way of a meteorite has been found in the North of Coventry area in connection with the first sightings.

The first set of sightings:

At 8 p.m. on February 18, observers saw a ball of fire, leaving a brilliant blue flame, traverse the sky from a Northerly direction. An official at Elmdon (Birmingham) Airport could only offer one explanation: "We have now established it as a satellite which burned up on re-entry into our atmosphere". The object is said to have been extremely clear in the sky and very bright.

Another theory, raised by a local expert, Mr. M. Jones, was that the object, seen as far away as Kent, was a meteorite which fell in the vicinity of Coventry. Two very reliable men, who I work with, Lionel Hunt and Jim Corcoran, were on their way into Coventry when they say they saw the object from their car.

"At approximately 7.15 p.m., while travelling on the A.46, we were just leaving Ansty on our way to Coventry. We noticed a vivid blue-white light, leaving a long trail, which was making a descending arc; possibly in a South to North direction. Although observed through some trees part of the time, the sighting of the object lasted about seven seconds and it appeared to land on the North side of Coventry."

The local expert Mr. Jones, a spokesman for the Leicester Museum, said that the object may have been a meteorite which was seen over Spain and the South of France and which later landed near Nuneaton (N Coventry). Mr. Jones, an assistant keeper of geology at the museum, said that evidence had been collected from 26 eye witnesses as far away as Grantham in Lincolnshire. He is sure that the object landed within a 10-mile radius of Nuneaton; possibly in the Astly area. One spokesman for the museum said: "We have no evidence from the witnesses to suggest that the meteorite broke up. It could have landed intact and we would be very interested to hear from anyone who saw it."

Another mysterious light was seen on the evening of March 2, this time by

two men, residents of Eastern Green, Coventry. Mr. J. Gregory and Mr. A. Tierney were walking along Falconbridge Avenue at about 7.50 p.m. when they saw an object. They described it as "very bright with a greenish light behind it." It was moving very fast and seemed to be in a horizontal flight path. Mr. Gregory's wife was certain that it wasn't a plane. "We get 'planes coming over here all the time and we know what they look like. The men couldn't believe their eyes at first. If they had not been together, they would have thought they were seeing things," she said.

Mr. H.G. Miles, director of the artificial satellites section of the British Astronomical Association, who lectures at the Lanchester Polytechnic, Coventry, is collecting information about the object sighted at Arley, near Nuneaton on Feb 18. He is not expecting to find a local meteorite.

One strange aspect of this case is that although about 30 residents saw an object on Thursday Feb. 18, 7 a.m., other Arley people saw a similar object at 7.17. p.m. the evening before. This object, which was a bright blue colour, disappeared and left a puff of blue smoke at about 100ft above the ground.

Mr. Miles tends to disagree with an earlier suggestion that the Arley sightings were linked with a meteorite shower. He also said that the phenomenon was not due to satellite re-entry. He feels that nothing landed at Arley at all. In fact the object passed over central regions of England and the Bristol Channel, finally to fall in the Irish Sea.

Since the February 18 sighting, three more fireball events. One on the 23rd February., one on the 24th and the other on March 2nd.

To re-cap; On Feb. 18 - 7. a.m. - whizzing noise; thud; followed by momentary mimming of house lights; awful smell of sulphur. 7.15. p.m. - Vivid blue/white light leaving a long trail; descending in arc; South to North. 8 p.m. - Ball of flame traverses sky from a Northerly direction. Feb. 23rd and 24th - to be dealt with below. March 2nd - 7.50 p.m. Very bright light with a greenish light behind it; horizontal flight.

The second sightings are as follows:-

Mr. C. Gilbert made a UFO sighting on February 23rd at 6.20 a.m. while looking out of a window at his home in Coundon. Is there a connection between his sighting and the one made by four police men the following day? Mr. Gilbert's description of the object is as follows:

"The object was brilliant, like a magnesium flare, hovering in the sky. It was stationary for a few seconds before it moved at a tremendous speed out of sight. "I saw it for a total of between two and three minutes. I was a total disbeliever but now I have no choice but to think there is something in this UFO business.

"It was travelling from North to South and at first I thought it was a star, but it was too bright and then, when it travelled horizontally across the sky, I realised it was a UFO."


The four policemen who saw three lights at 6.15 a.m. on Feb. 24th were P.C.'s Brian Hewitt of B sub-division; Graham Edwards; John Crutchlow and John Wilson. P.C. Hewitt's description of what they saw is as follows: "We were attending a job in Lythall's Lane at 6.15 a.m., when we all saw a strange object in the sky. It was not a meteorite or anything like that. There were three single white lights in the sky over Nuneaton and moving at a great speed in a Westerly direction towards Birmingham. They then turned Northwards.

"They were at a height of about two miles and the lights did not belong to the same object because they were so far apart. They appeared to be in formation. They were also travelling at a tremendous speed because an aircraft flying at say 600 mph at that height would appear to be going only slowly.


"I don't know what they could have been. I don't believe in flying saucers or anything like that and I have tried to look at it in a reasonable way. I have checked with Air Traffic Control at Elmdon Airport and the first aircraft to land there was 45 minutes later than the time we saw those lights."

Might we assume that the sightings were connected in some way. I must point out however, that this sort of thing is not new to this area. North Coventry and Nuneaton areas are rated high as regard to the frequency of UFO visitations. The most recent of this influx of UFO activity was a sighting made on March 25th at 2 a.m. over Coundon. The sighting, the most spectacular to date over this area was made by a 30-year-old man and his wife. Michael Piff and his wife Joyce were woken at this early hour by what they thought was a brilliant flash of blue sheet lightning. As Mr. Piff looked out of his window there were two more flashes which lit the whole bedroom. It was then that he noticed an object which he thought was an aircraft. Looking more carefully he noticed that there were four dull yellow lights in the shape of a cross, upon the object. There was another flash and Michael rushed into the back garden to see what was going on. It was then that he saw what he described as "fantastic and rather shattering." Looking upwards he saw 30 to 50 of these cross-shaped objects in what he says was 'banks or wave' formation. It was fairly cloudy but he says that the objects were definitely moving from the North to the South. He clearly stated that it was not just cloud movement. His wife said that the shape of each object was more of a diamond with the yellow lights equally spaced. The objects, which were very high up, traversed the sky until it was no longer possible to see them.

The flashes which woke them both up were also noticed in Wyken - the other side of Coventry to Coundon.



Michael's description



Bank or Wave Formation



His wife's description

ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING,

22nd May 1971.

Attendance at this meeting was very poor, even more so than last year. The meeting was opened at 2.15 p.m. by the chairman, and after his minutes of the last A.G.M. had been read, the treasurer gave his report and presented his accounts as detailed below. The secretary then gave his report, in which he mentioned that the year had been a mediocre one for Ufology and the organisation in general due to lack of interest shown by the public, and that London meetings had been poorly attended. However, he reported that the magazines had gone from strength to strength in spite of the financial situation. At the election of Officers two resignations were received (Adrian Turner and Carla Rudd) and it was suggested and carried unanimously that the rest of the committee be re-elected en bloc. There were proposals that the two vacancies should be filled by Paul Harris of the Folkstone branch and Maureen Russell of London. These were duly elected unanimously. Other matters were discussed under 'Any other business', the main decision being the discontinuation of London lectur meetings for the present because of the very poor attendance. The meeting was closed by the chairman at 3.30 p.m.

Treasurer's Accounts - page 16.

NOTICE BOARD

Forthcoming Meetings
Announcements of Skywatces
and Other Events
News from the Committee
Other Items of Interest

CONTACT (U.K.)

Profit & Loss A/C year ended 31st March, 1971.

Expenditure

Postage	20.02
Printing	169.12
Hire of Halls	13.50
Advertisements	12.10
Donation to Inter- national Chairman	3.50
Bank charges	.50
Postage	4.15
Typewriter Hire	3.50
	<hr/>
	226.39
	<hr/>

Receipts

Subscriptions	109.145
UFO Register	16.705
Public Meetings	31.57
Sales	3.425
	<hr/>
	160.845
Loss on years Work	65.445
	<hr/>
	226.39
	<hr/>

BALANCE SHEET

Balance in Bank b/fd. last year	63.25
Loss on years work	65.445
	<hr/>
(Debit)	2.195
	<hr/>

Overdrawn at Bank	2.195
	<hr/>

Pen Pals

The following member has written requesting pen-friendship with other Contact members:

Miss Elaine Perkins, 38, East Park Way, Wolverhampton, Staffordshire.

May we remind you that this free service is available to all members of Contact who wish to correspond with other members.

EXCHANGE MAGAZINES.

Please send all exchange magazines to:

D. Mansell, 75, Norreys Road, Cumnor, Oxford, OX2 9PU.

OTHER CONTACT MAGAZINES.

Apart from Contact (U.K.) three other national branches of Contact produce magazines. These magazines are:

SKYWATCH of Contact (South Africa). P.O. Box 743, Durban, Natal, S. Africa.

TOPSIDE of Ottawa New Sciences Club and Contact (Canada). 95, Centre St. Aylmer East, Quebec, Canada.

CONTACT (U.S.A.) 548W, Steeles Corner Road, Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio, 44223 U.S.A.

CONTACT (NEW ZEALAND) are planning a magazine for issue shortly.

SPECIAL OFFER

Messrs Neville Spearman, one of England's largest publishers of UFO literature has kindly agreed to offer books to Contact (UK) members at a discount of 15% plus postage. Members wishing to take advantage of this scheme should write to David G. Guyatt, 83, Stornoway Road, Southend-on-Sea, Essex. enclosing a cheque or postal order made out to CONTACT (U.K.) for the price of the book/s plus postage. This offer is open for all present and future books published by the above. A list of same with retail and discount prices follows below.

The committee strongly urge all members to purchase books in this manner. Please order as soon as possible.

<u>TITLE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>Rtl. PRICE</u>	<u>Disc. PRICE</u>
THE SKY PEOPLE	Le Poer Trench	1.25	1.06
FORGOTTEN HERITAGE	Le Poer Trench	1.25	1.06
FLYING SAUCER STORY	Le Poer Trench	1.25	1.06
OPERATION EARTH	Le Poer Trench	1.50	1.27
MY CONTACT WITH FLYING SAUC.	D. Kraspedon	1.05	.89
FLYING SAUCERS HAVE LANDED	Leslie/Adamski	1.05	.89
INSIDE THE SPACE SHIP	G. Adamski	1.25	1.06
THE HUMANOIDS	C. Bowen	1.50	1.27
DWELLER ON TWO PLANETS	Phylos	2.25	1.91
EARTH DWELLERS RETURN	Phylos	2.50	2.12
FLYING SAUCER THROUGH THE AGES	P. Thomas	1.05	.89
SPACEMEN OF THE ANCIENT EAST	R. Drake	1.50	1.27
WARMINSTER MYSTERY	Shuttlewood	1.05	.89
ANATOMY OF A PHENOMENON	J. Valles	1.25	1.06
CHALLENGE TO SCIENCE	J. Valles	1.25	1.06
PASSPORT TO AMGONIA	J. Valles	1.75	1.49
OTHER TONGUES OTHER FLESH	G.H. Williamson	1.75	1.49
SECRET PLACES OF LION	G.H. Williamson	1.25	1.06
SECRET OF THE ANDES	G.H. Williamson	1.05	.89
ROAD IN THE SKY	G.H. Williamson	1.25	1.06
THE SAUCERS SPEAK	G.H. Williamson	0.80	.68

Important Notice

CONTACT (UK) have recently received a 'subscription agent' status for the periodical UFO News magazine SPACELINK. This means that for every subscription that is sent to us, we receive a small agency fee.

Any Contact (UK) member or reader who wishes to subscribe, or already subscribes to SK should put their subscription through us, as this will help to increase funds, and eventually improve our capabilities. Thank you.

Enquiries should be directed to: David Guyatt, 83, Stornoway Road, Southend-on-Sea, Essex.

Gossip Column

THE SUPPERTIME UFO

In the January/February issue of the APRO BULLETIN published by the Aerial Phenomena Research Organization of Arizona, U.S.A. a small but considerably interesting case came to the notice of APRO's field investigator in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. On the night of December 29th 1970, Judith de Jesus heard some strange sounds coming from her kitchen. She entered the room to investigate, only to discover a small 18 inch diameter object, humming quietly, and emitting a blue light on top. The object made several manoeuvre around the kitchen, and eventually disappeared through an open window. Naturally, Mrs. de Jesus was terrified and remained so for a short while.

**S
P
A
C
E
L
I
N
K**

Has recently been listed as BRITAINS NO. 1 MAGAZINE. Covering UFO news and the flying saucer scene and enjoys a wide circulation. Even though appearing irregularly, readers know they will always receive a quality magazine with down to earth satisfying material backed up with interesting photos and illustrations at a very competitive price.

The subscription is 20p per copy or 75 p for 4 issues.

AVAILABLE FROM: the usual distributors or Miss Christine Hennick, SK Sub Dept. 99, Mayday Gardens, London. S.E. 3.

CONDON'S CONSPIRACY.

You may remember the American Association for the Advancement of Science, held a UFO symposium in December, 1969. It has recently been discovered that Dr. Edward Condon (of the Condon Report) was invited to attend, but refused. Furthermore, it was disclosed by Dr. Thornton Page, of NASA's Manned Spacecraft Centre, during a press conference, that Dr. Condon made several attempts to

page 18.

stop the meeting. One channel which he used was none other than the present Vice President of the U.S.A. Mr. Spiro Agnew. Luckily, Spiro Agnew could not have done anything to prevent the symposium since it was sponsored by a private organization.

UNUSUAL SKYWATCH.

The Essex branch of Contact (UK) had a most unusual skywatch during the week end allocated for the International Skywatch. Firstly, the mini van in which members were travelling to the watch point, became bogged down in a muddy, watery ditch for two hours. Once out of the ditch they set up a tent in a field, only to be chased out ten minutes later by a herd of galloping, not too happy bullocks. Eventually the tent was re-pitched in another field, only to have the rain join in the battle. Enough is enough, and the watch capitulated to the elements.



Data Research

We would like to mention, that we are still desperately in need of good investigators and that we wish as many people as possible to send in newspaper cuttings. We are lacking in sightings from Cornwall, Yorkshire, Lancashire, Suffolk, Wiltshire and all parts of Scotland and Wales. If members hear of any sightings in these areas, please inform the Research officer immediately, at the following:- Mr. D.N. Mansell, 75, Norreys Road, Cumnor, Oxford, OX2 9PU. Cumnor 3320

As members know, the first free UFO Register is due out in late July. However new members and even some old ones may not know that the first two issues of the Register are on sale. Vol.1. part 1 costs 20p inc. postage, Vol.1. part 2 costs 40p inc. postage. The issue due, will be Vol. 2. part 1. This will be out in July. Incidentally, this journal comes out twice yearly. We strongly recommend all members to purchase back numbers and thus collect all the issues, as they are extremely valuable to all keen ufologists.

READ the next issue of

SPACE-DRIVE & UFO MIRROR

shortly available in colour

SPACE BEINGS ? WHAT DO THEY LOOK LIKE ?

You can find out by sending now. TRUE ACCOUNTS! WELL ILLUSTRATED Worth every NEW PENNY. 60p for 4 issues ONE FREE AT XMAS. Sample copy 15p by post. OVERSEAS; By air, 4 issues: \$3.50 By sea \$1.52. Sample 38 cents by sea. To Space-Drive & UFO Mirror. 37, The Close, Dunmow, Essex, England. c/o Edward Harris.

U.F.O. Reports

FEBRUARY 13th 1971 Time 9.30 p.m. Place. Egham, Surrey.
Witness. Miss C. Phillips and Mr. M.E. Moore.

Object was first sighted by Miss Phillips, who thought it was an aircraft, but after listening for a while no sound was heard. Object was moving very slowly, then stopped for 5 minutes, it then moved off and disappeared instantly. Object was oblong or rugby ball shaped, colour was white, it had a large light in front and a row of smaller lights along the side. Its height was about 500ft and not less than three miles away. Object was of a sixpence held at arms length.

FEBRUARY 18th 1971. Time 7.15 p.m. Place. Botley, Oxford.
Witness. Miss L.C. Pratt and Mr. Dunn.

Mr. Dunn was driving a car and Miss Pratt was in the passenger seat looking straight ahead, when she saw a flash of light, which looked like a shooting star. She watched this glowing light shoot upwards slightly then it suddenly dropped and seemed to explode. Witness then saw an oval shape in the sky, it was a dark grey, had two lights at the top and two at the bottom, across the middle was a row of small lights. First of all the object was hovering, it then moved quickly to the left and stopped again, it repeated this again, then witness lost sight of object, because of the car roof. Object was size of a football held at arms length.

FEBRUARY 18th 1971. Time 7.15 p.m. Place. Loughborough, Leicestershire
Witness. Unknown.

Witness saw a fiery object, described as a ball of fire, which had a tail. Object was travelling fairly fast. Object was red and white and descending towards Leicester. The head and tail was separated by a thin dark line.

FEBRUARY 18th 1971. Time 7.15 p.m. Place. Leicester.
Witness. Unknown.

Witness saw an oblong object, pointed at the front and coloured yellow and white, the back end was sparkling. Object descended in Pailton area.

FEBRUARY 18th 1971. Time Unknown. Place. Nuneaton, Warwickshire.
Witness. Mrs. K. Squires.

Witness saw a fluorescent white ball, which had a tail, object crossed from N.E. to S.W. on a steady straight flight. As it got further away, the tail seemed to disappear. Object seemed to drop to the ground behind some trees.

FEBRUARY 18th 1971. Time 7.20 p.m. Place. Rugby, Warwickshire.
Witness. Unknown.

Object was seen, shaped like an egg, it was silvery in colour, with a green haze surrounding it. As object was descending, a short jet of flame came from the rear. When object was a few feet above the ground it suddenly disappeared.

MARCH 2nd 1971

Time 8 p.m.

Place. Corby, Northants.

Witnesses. Mr. J. Dandy and Mr. W. Goudie.

Witnesses were in the open talking, when they saw the following object. It was round, the size of a football held at arms length, colour was blue/green, the object emitted red sparkes. Object was only visible for 5 seconds and was dropping quickly, it seemed to be about 1,000 yards away.

APRIL 13th 1971

Time 12.41 a.m.

Place. Between Dorchester on
Thames and Burcot, Oxon.

Witnesses. Mr. and Mrs. J.B. Delair.

Witnesses were driving back from London, to their home at Wooton, Berkshire when their attention was drawn to a rapidly falling, generally round shaped, bright object. The colour was white and had a blue encircling band, this was surrounded by a diffuse light. The object was sharply outlined and was the size of a pea, held at arms length. Witnesses estimated object would be about 20ft in diameter and about three quarters of a mile away, the speed was quite fast.

APRIL 14th 1971

Time 4.45 a.m.

Place. Nr. Brinswich, Perth, Aust.

Witnesses. Mr. and Mrs. G. Richards.

Witnesses were driving through Brinswich, which was deserted, when they noticed a light following them. The light came racing up to the car, Mrs Richards screamed thinking it was going to hit them, object was doing about 500 mph. However, the object suddenly stopped, staying behind about 20 yards, for several seconds, then shot back to the horizon behind witnesses. It then began to glow red, like a fire, it then turned white and came at witnesses for a second time. This happened four times. Witnesses then looked at the front and saw another light there. This object came at them three times, each time just pulling up, sometimes it disappeared. The lights hurt witnesses eyes, when they looked at it.

MAY 19th 1971

Time Night.

Place. Southbourne, Hants.

Witness. Mrs. Williams.

This is a report with a difference, no object was seen. The witness was kept awake by a terrifying noise, it lasted for 1½ hours. Witness couldn't quite make up her mind how to describe the noise, it was something like a train crash or the roaring of a prehistoric monster. Mrs. Williams husband, poked his head out of the window and heard what his neighbours described as interplanetary music, floating through the air. The witnesses and the next door neighbours were the only people to hear the noise, the police didn't receive reports from anyone else.

Cat No.	Sat.	Source	Name	Est Decay	Cat No.	Sat.	Source	Name	Est Decay
1711	65-82BS	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	23/3/71	4815	70-111D	USSR	Cosmos 378	4/8/71
4959	71-14A	USSR	Cosmos 396 P/L	23/3/71	3731	65-82RN	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	5/8/71
2573	65-82KK	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	26/3/71	5038	70-25JD	US	Mimbus D Deb.	7/8/71
1691	65-82BE	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	26/3/71	3477	65-82QC	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	8/4/71
3040	65-82LH	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	1/4/71	4763	70-100B	USSR	Cosmos 380 Deb.	10/4/71
3719	65-82RA	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	1/4/71	3095	71-18C	USSR	Cosmos 114 Deb.	11/4/71
4763	70-100B	USSR	Cosmos 380R P/L	1/4/71	5059	ul-22A	US	OPS 5300 P/L	11/4/71
88638			Uncatalogued	4/4/71	1655	65-82S	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	15/4/71
4537	70-73B	USSR	Cosmos 362R Deb.	6/4/71	4153	69-82V	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	15/4/71
3472	68-86A	US	OPS 0964 P/L	7/4/71	0624	63-30D	US	Dash-2 P/L	16/4/71
4885	71-07B	USSR	Cosmos 393 Deb.	7/4/71	0531	61-OMI 164	US	Transit 4 Deb.	18/4/71
3477	65-82Qc	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	11/4/71	5046	71-19D	US	Imp-1 Deb.	3/5/71
4193	69-82V	US	OPS 7613 Deb.	11/4/71	85215			Uncatalogued	5/5/71
3095	67-18C	USSR	Cosmos 114 Deb.	18/4/71	1534	65-20CE	USSR	Cosmos 61-63 Deb.	6/5/71
531	61-OMI 164	US	Transit 4A Deb.	10/4/71	5008	71-16C	USSR	Cosmos 398 Deb.	6/5/71
4962	71-14D	USSR	Cosmos 396 Deb.	20/4/71	288	62-Omi 2	US	Ariel Rocket	7/5/71
1760	65-82CX	US	Tiatan 3C4 Deb.	22/4/71	3233	68-41A	ESRO	Iris P/L	15/5/71
1766	65-82DD	US	Tiatan 3C4 Deb.	23/4/71	4690	70-94A	US	OFO A P/L	16/5/71
4762	70-100A	USSR	Cosmos 380 P/L	18/5/71	85015			Uncatalogued	21/5/71
4811	70-112A	USSR	Cosmos 388 P/L	18/5/71	4103	69-79B			24/5/71
288	62-OMI2	US	Ariel Rocket	20/5/71	5038	70-25JD	US	Nimbus D Deb.	24/5/71
1661	65-82Y	US	Tiatan 3C4 Deb.	20/5/71	1661	65-82JY	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	25/5/71
5044	71-19B	US	Imp-1 Rocket	22/5/71	4811	70-112A	USSR	Cosmos 388 P/L	30/5/71
2898	65-82KZ	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	23/6/71	4762	70-100A	USSR	Cosmos 380 P/L	8/6/71
4884	71-07A	USSR	Cosmos 393 P/L	23/6/71	5086	71-23A	USSR	Cosmos 401 P/L	20/6/71
5160	71-32A	USSR	Salyut P/L	27/6/71	4884	71-07A	USSR	Cosmos 393 P/L	26/6/71
3921	69-29AH	USSR	Meteor Deb.	30/6/71	2898	65-82KZ	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	27/6/71
4762	70-100A	USSR	Cosmos 380 P/L	6/7/71					
1669	65-82AG	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	15/7/71					
5073	70-89AL	USSR	Cosmos 374 Deb.	31/7/71					

Deb. = Debris. P/L = Payload.

Cat No.	Sat.	Source	Name	Decayed	Cat No.	Sat.	Source	Name	Decayed
5048	71-017D	USSR	Cosmos 399 Deb.	31/3/71	5168	71-032G	USSR	Salyut Deb.	21/4/71
1760	65-082OX	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	31/3/71	5124	71-029A	USSR	Cosmos 406 P/L	24/4/71
5087	71-023B	USSR	Cosmos 401 R/B	2/4/71	5172	71-034	USSR	Sayus 10 P/L	24/4/71
1766	65-082DD	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	3/4/71	5166	71-032E	USSR	Sayus 10 Deb.	24/4/71
5110	71-025D	USSR	Cosmos 402 R/B	5/4/71	5169	71-029D	USSR	Cosmos 406 Deb.	24/4/71
5111	71-026B	USSR	Cosmos 403 R/B	5/4/71	5173	71-034	USSR	Sayus 10 R/B	26/4/71
3477	71-082QC	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	7/4/71	5179	71-029F	USSR	Cosmos 406 Deb.	26/4/71
5116	71-027D	USSR	Cosmos 404 Deb.	7/4/71	0288	62-OMI D	US	Ariel D R/B	28/4/71
5086	71-023A	USSR	Cosmos 401 P/L	9/4/71	5170	71-029E	USSR	Cosmos 406 Deb	28/4/71
4763	70-100B	USSR	Cosmos 380 R/B	9/4/71	4323	69-08DW	US	OPS 7613 Deb.	3/2/71
3095	67-18C	USSR	Cosmos 144 Deb.	8/4/71	4692	70-094B	US	RMS P/L	7/2/71
5114	71-027B	USSR	Cosmos 404 R/B	12/4/71	3998	65-082SK	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	6/2/71
0624	63-030D	US	US Balloon	12/4/71	4874	71-005A	US	OPS 7776 P/L	9/2/71
5059	71-022A	US	OPS 5300	12/4/71	4900	71-008A	US	Apollo 14 P/L	9/2/71
5120	71-023D	USSR	Cosmos 401 Deb.	12/4/71	4901	67-001E	US	Intelsat 2 Deb.	9/2/71
5121	71-023E	USSR	Cosmos 401 Deb.	12/4/71	4831	70-114C	USSR	17 Molniya 1 P/forml	10/2/71
5108	71-026A	USSR	Cosmos 403 P/L	14/4/71	3867	69-029S	USSR	Meteor Deb.	13/2/71
4153	69-082V	US	OPS 7613 Deb.	14/4/71	4780	70-099C	USSR	Cosmos 379 Deb.	13/2/71
5127	71-029C	USSR	Cosmos 406 Deb.	14/4/71	4412	70-043B	USSR	Cosmos 347 R/B	14/2/71
0531	61-OMI	US	Transit 4A Deb.	15/4/71	4812	70-112B	USSR	Cosmos 388 R/B	17/2/71
5119	71-023C	Cosmos	401 R/B	16/4/71	1767	65-82DE	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	19/2/71
5125	71-029B	USSR	Cosmos 406 R/B	17/4/71	4916	71-14C	USSR	Cosmos 396 Deb.	20/2/71
5122	71-019E	US	IMP-1 Deb.	19/4/71	4962	71-14D	USSR	Cosmos 396 Deb.	21/2/71
5046	71-019D	US	IMP-1 Deb.	19/4/71	4960	71-14B	USSR	Cosmos 396 Deb.	25/2/71
1655	65-082S	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	19/4/71	2793	63-14AP	US	FTV Deb.	25/2/71
5161	71-032B	USSR	Salyut R/B	20/4/71	4967	71-016B	USSR	Cosmos 398 R/B	1/3/71
5162	71-032C	USSR	Salyut Deb.	20/4/71	0611	63-022D	US	S-120 Deb.	1/3/71
5163	71-032D	USSR	Salyut Deb.	21/4/71	4959	71-014A	USSR	Cosmos 396 P/L	3/3/71
5167	71-032F	USSR	Salyut Deb.	21/4/71	4965	71-015B	USSR	Cosmos 397 R/B	4/3/71

Saucers, Space and Science

Do you subscribe to this topclass Canadian ufo magazine ?

If not, then why not ?

An absolute mine of up-to-date information on Canadian and U.S.A. reports, this low-priced but important publication regularly contains photographs, drawings and descriptions of recently observed ufos over North America. It features a valuable round-up of the latest articles, press-releases, and books on the UFO phenomenon (many not advertised elsewhere or otherwise unknown to British ufologists) and, together with news of prominent North American ufologists, advance notices of meetings and conventions. No serious student of flying saucers and related topics can afford to be without SAUCERS, SPACE & SCIENCE. Issued several times a year, the annual subscription is only \$2.50: a sample is available at only .75 ¢. Order your copies NOW from:-

G. Duplantier.
17 Shetland Street,
Willowdale,
ONTARIO,
CANADA.

Miss Susan Stebbing.
87, Selsea Avenue,
Herne Bay,
KENT,
ENGLAND. (UK distributive agent)

Cat No.	Sat.	Source	Name	Decayed
5006	71-014F	USSR	Cosmos 369 Deb.	4/3/71
5004	71-017B	USSR	Cosmos 399 R/B	8/3/71
5005	71-014E	USSR	Cosmos 396 Deb.	8/3/71
1691	65-82BE	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	12/3/71
2573	65-82KK	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	15/3/71
1711	65-82BS	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	20/3/71
5049	71-019E	USSR	Cosmos 399 Deb.	23/3/71
5040	71-016E	USSR	Cosmos 398 Deb.	23/3/71
5047	71-017C	USSR	Cosmos 399 Deb.	25/3/71
3472	68-086A	US	OPS 0964 P/L	26/3/71
3719	65-82RA	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	26/3/71
4537	70-073B	USSR	Cosmos 362 R/B	28/3/71
5039	71-016D	USSR	Cosmos 398 Deb.	28/3/71
3040	65-82LH	US	Titan 3C4 Deb.	29/3/71
4885	71-07-13	USSR	Cosmos 393 R/B	31/3/71